



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,417	03/10/2004	Robert W. Hjelmeland	DP-310378	4132
<div>7590 08/15/2007 STEFAN V. CHMIELEWSKI DELPHI TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Legal Staff Mail Code: CT10C P.O. Box 9005 Kokomo, IN 46904-9005</div>			<div>EXAMINER DANIELSEN, NATHAN ANDREW</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT 2627</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE 08/15/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/797,417	HJELMELAND, ROBERT W.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nathan Danielsen	2627	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Nathan Danielsen (PTO). (3) Marcus Dolce.
 (2) William Korzuch (PTO). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 15, 17-20, 23, 24, 26 and 27.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mukawa (US Patent 5,799,006), Okamoto (JP Patent Application Publication 01-171144).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


 WAYNE YOUNG
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative asked for clarification with respect to how the combination is not a substitution of elements but rather a combination of elements. The examiner explained that element 11 in the figures of Okamoto having a diameter larger than that of the top portion of element 104 in figures 3-7 of Mukawa could be placed on top of Mukawa's element 104 such that both elements will rotate with the rotation of the disc, thus causing air to circulate within the optical disc device .